

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/613,380	LIM ET AL.
	Examiner Anna Skibinsky	Art Unit 1631
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____	
(1) <u>Anna Skibinsky, Examiner.</u>	(3) <u>Richard Aron Osman, attorney.</u>	
(2) <u>Lori Clow, Primary Examiner.</u>	(4) _____	
Date of Interview: <u>6 November 2007</u>	Time: _____	
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)	
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, provide a brief description: N/A.		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: <i>112, 1st Enablement</i>		
Claims discussed: <i>Claims 1 and 14</i>		
Prior art documents discussed:		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: <i>See Continuation Sheet</i>		
Part III.		
<input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner phoned to inquire about an allowance of claim 14 which was free of the art, rejections, and indicated as allowable, in favor of canceling the rejected claims 1, 2, 6 and 8. Attorney declined allowance of claim 14 and suggested narrowing the claims between the rejected claims (1, 2, 6 and 8) and claim 14 and did not wish to dismiss the appeal by canceling all the rejected claims. Since Examiner has maintained the rejection of claims 1, 2, 6 and 8, it was decided to go forward with the appeal.